





# The physical and mental health benefits of touch interventions: A systematic review and multivariate meta-analysis

### Julian Packheiser<sup>1\*</sup>, Helena Hartmann<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Kelly Fredriksen<sup>1</sup>, Valeria Gazzola<sup>1</sup>, Christian Keysers<sup>1</sup>, & Frédéric Michon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Social Brain Laboratory, Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience, Royal Academy of Arts and Sciences, Department for Neurology and Center for Translational and Behavioral Neuroscience, University Hospital Essen, Germany \*Shared first-authorship

- Touch interventions have been shown to have a wide range of both mental and physical **health benefits** 
  - COVID-19 has reminded us how lonely we can feel with limited social physical contact, increasing the urgency to better understand the benefits of touch



What are the effects of touch interventions on mental and physical health in adults, children and newborns?



-

**H** 

npo.

 $\geq$ 

Γ

k = 245



**Touch interventions improve mental and physical health equally** 

- **Search on** Google Scholar, PubMed & Web of Science
- Systematic review (n = 81 studies)
- Meta-analyses (n = 91/63 RCTs for adults & children/newborns, k = 700 effects)

Most health outcomes benefit from touch interventions in adults and newborns

- Main variable of interest: Health outcome
- **Collected moderators**:
  - Type of touch (skin-to-skin, massage, kangaroo care, body part, ...)
  - Interaction dyad (human, robot, familiarity, ...)
  - Information on session (duration, amount, location, ...)

### **Specific outcome (newborns) Specific outcome (adults)** Newborns Adults 0 2.5 () 5.0 0 2.5 () 5.0 0.23 [-0.00, 0.46] Respiration Precision (1/SE) Precision (1/SE) 0 7.5 0 10.0 0 7.5 0 10.0 Heart rate 0.27 [ 0.07, 0.46] 0.32 [-0.17, 0.80] Digestion 0.31 [ 0.15, 0.47] Sleep Physical 0.35 [-0.02, 0.72] Heart rate Health 0.37 [ 0.18, 0.57] Negative affect Diastolic BP 0.39 [ 0.11, 0.68] 0.59 [ 0.17, 1.01] Temperature Positive affect 0.40 [ 0.18, 0.62] 0.50 [0.39, 0.62] 0.53 [0.37, 0.68] k = 151 Mobility 0.41 [ 0.16, 0.66] Respiration 0.61 [ 0.25, 0.97] Systolic BP 0.47 [ 0.20, 0.74] 0.53 [ 0.33, 0.72] Cortisol Weight gain 0.65 [ 0.37, 0.94] 0.59 [ 0.40, 0.77] Trait anxiety Mental 0.59 [ 0.40, 0.78] Cortisol 0.78 [ 0.24, 1.31] neaith



Hedges' g

Hedges' g

### Conclusion

Touch interventions are beneficial across a large number of mental and physical health outcomes but are dependent on moderating factors such as the touching dyad or the frequency of applied touch.

Leopoldina

Nationale Akademie

der Wissenschaften





0000-0001-9805-6755 ID)

j.packheiser@nin.knaw.nl 



Icons by https://fontawesome.com/



າຍສເ

und Internationalisierun

## Acknowledgments

We greatly thank Aline Frick & Eva Chris for supporting the initial literature search and coding. The study was pre-registered on PROSPERO (CRD42022304281).

